

Message

From: Hall, Renea [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7E6FA15B05C0453DA3CAE5DACDB93574-HALL, RENEA]
Sent: 2/25/2020 1:39:52 PM
To: Adams, Glenn [Adams.Glenn@epa.gov]; Ferreira, James [Ferreira.James@epa.gov]
Subject: Biosolids - Maximum PFOA/PFOS levels
Attachments: dalton_final_pfc_soil_screening_values11_20_09.pdf

Glenn,

I just wanted to touch base with you. Last week, we met with GA EPD to present all of the 2019 studies. Liz Booth indicated that, back in Gail Mitchell's day, there was a maximum level of PFOA/PFOS that Region 4 established for biosolids from Dalton Utilities for land application.

Based on my understanding, the soil screening values that you calculated (attached) would be the closest thing to this, am I correct? Does this directly answer Liz's question?

My understanding about the soil screening values is that it's based on an exposure level to surficial soils and is site-specific. In the case of Dalton, it would be protective of workers performing maintenance activities or lawn services at the LAS, etc.

I understand that this was based on the Provisional Health Advisory and you revised it for the Lifetime Health Advisory.

Based on where things stand now, would it be best to use Nate's RSL's numbers from the Coosa study as a reference for biosolids? If so, are there any limitations on using those numbers applied to biosolids? Are there any whispers of anything else that would be applicable?

Renea Hall
Environmental Engineer
AL Program Manager | PFAS Staff Lead Water Division | WaterSense Coordinator
EPA Region IV
61 Forsyth Street
Atlanta, GA 30303
(404) 562-8397